

THE IMPACT OF AGE ON PERCEIVED RISK AND RISK REDUCTION IN HIGH INVOLVEMENT CONSUMER DECISION MAKING

Peter Simcock (p.j.simcock@livjm.ac.uk)
Lynne Sudbury(l.sudbury@livjm.ac.uk)
Gillian Wright (g.h.wright@livjm.ac.uk)
Liverpool Business School
98 Mount Pleasant
Liverpool
L3 5UZ
England

ABSTRACT

This paper presents results from an empirical study (n=231) of age groups and risk, concluding that popular assumptions about differences between age groups need to be challenged. We draw on the extant literature regarding the nature of risk and risk reduction in consumer behaviour, highlighting the lack of consensus and relative paucity of empirical studies in high involvement purchases to establish our research agenda. Our analysis highlights the issues that are associated with age and the impact of defining age groups on our understanding of risk and its reduction. The results highlight that age differences are associated with specific risk factors and that the relationship of age and behaviour is not always linear. Whilst most marketing practitioners tend to see the older groups as separate and different, we found little evidence to support this simplistic view, and we highlight the need for more sophisticated age-based segmentation.

INTRODUCTION

At the heart of the study of Consumer Behaviour has been the development of the key concepts of risk reduction (Bauer, 1960), cognitive dissonance (Festinger, 1957) and buyer behaviour models (Howard & Seth, 1969). Customer satisfaction has developed in the quality management focus of services marketing. This paper examines the impact of perceived risk on customer satisfaction. The area of perceived risk has generated a wealth of studies since Bauer's (1967) seminal work on the nature of risk. The study reported here enhances our understanding of the relationship of perceived risk to satisfaction and the specific issues of risk and satisfaction in a high involvement purchase.

We begin by considering the nature of risk and go on to review research that has related risk to consumer profiles and the purchase context. From this scrutiny of the extant literature, it is clear that the emphasis of risk research has been on refining models that represent the nature of risk and how risk impacts on the search process and product choice. The next section of the paper describes our data gathering approach and details the analysis which explored the latent themes in risk perceptions and examined the extent to which perceived risk explains long-term customer satisfaction. Our results indicate eight strong risk types, the most dominant being socio-psychological risk. Four dimensions of risk are significantly associated with customer satisfaction (budget, psycho-social, reassurance and time) and the paper concludes with a discussion of the implications of this association for further research and marketing practice.

THE CONTEMPORARY DEMOGRAPHIC CHALLENGE

Profound structural changes in the population mean that the older consumer market (the grey market) is forecast to be at least as, if not more, important to marketers than the younger consumers upon whom they have traditionally focused (Buck 1990a; Byrne 1994; Lannon 1994; Schewe 1991). The changing demographic profile of the USA and

Europe, in particular the aging of these populations and the impact of this on markets and business, is well documented in both the academic literature (Calver et al 1993; Cornman and Kingson 1996; Dychtwald and Gable 1990; Hirschler 1993; Leventhal 1991; Sudbury 2002) and the trade press (Buck 1990b; Fry 1992; Johnson 1990; Kavanagh 1995; Lannon 1990; Satterthwaite 1990; Silman and Poustie 1994; Thomas 1990).

Despite the significance of this market, there are high levels of ambiguity about the behaviour, structure and even definitions of the grey market. For example, defining where “later life” begins start at ages 45 (Peterson 1992), 50 (Bone 1991; The Carnegie UK Trust 1992; Moschis 1992), 55 (Tinker 1994), and 65 (Calver et al 1993; Gelb 1978; Greco 1988). In this paper, the grey market is taken to be the over 50s.

Whilst it might seem rather simplistic to divide all adults into two segments, many authors do make the distinction between the grey market and the rest of the population. This is despite Tongren’s (1988) meta-analysis which concludes that there is “...no strong overall support to the existence of differences between the behaviour variables of older and younger consumers or all adults “ (p147). On the other hand, Wolfe (1994) states “...few businesses have considered the psychological differences between older and younger consumers. The differences are profound” (p32). Thus, before more detailed empirical studies can be undertaken, the basic assumptions upon which many marketing authors base their recommendation for strategy formulation need to be examined.

Defining the age parameters and structure of this market is only one area of debate; there is a general lack of empirical research evidence that gives reliable insight into the market. As Lazer (1986) observed seventeen years ago, most commentaries on older consumers have been based on assumptions, conjectures and stereotypes, notably devoid of hard data. Despite this acknowledgement of the need for a research-based understanding of this age group, there remains a dearth of empirical work in the area.

In stark contrast, the area of perceived risk has generated a wealth of studies since Bauer’s (1967) seminal work; indeed, the authors examined over 80 such studies in preparing this paper. However, few studies have brought these two important areas together. Thus, this paper explores the impact of age on attitudes to risk. It builds on the literature on age segmentation and the dimensions of perceived risk, using a specific, high involvement purchase, that of an automobile, as a decision context. The study reported here enhances our understanding of the grey market with regard to perceived risk, and the implications for marketing practitioners and further research are discussed.

PERCEIVED RISK AND OLDER CONSUMERS

The impact of age on perceptions of risk is generally under-explored. Up until now, understanding this impact has been very much a by-product of more general studies. The empirical work in this area can be summarised as scarce, contradictory and increasingly dated. Of over 80 studies examined, few incorporated a comparative element, and only one (Gilly and Zeithaml, 1985) compared older and younger consumers as a major research hypothesis. It seems surprising, given the importance of age as a demographic variable, that it has been relatively neglected in recent academic research.

Of those studies identified as relevant, Schiffman (1972) and Strutton and Lumpkin (1992) studied older consumers only, and their work contains no comparative data. Cunningham (1967), Gilly and Zeithaml (1985), Reinecke and Goldsmith (1993) and Spence et al (1970) found no age related differences amongst respondents regarding perceived risk across a range of goods and services.

On the other hand, Srinivasem and Ratchford (1991) examined perceived risk and search behaviour in the purchase of new automobiles and concluded that age has a significant positive effect on perceived risks, though unfortunately there was no further discussion of this age factor. Reid, Teel and Van dan Berg (1980) found the elderly to perceive risk in shopping situations. Phillips and Sternthal (1977) state that the elderly are more cautious in making decisions in comparison with other age groups. Dychtwald and Gable (1990) take this view further and consider it to be the "... *key* psychological difference between the young and the mature..." (italics added.) Likewise, Lumpkin, Caballero and Chanko (1989) report that when mature consumers are compared to younger people, they tend to be more cautious and to seek greater certitude before they act. In terms of perceived risk-reduction methods such certitude can be gained from any mechanism that provides reassurance, for example warranties, guarantees, product trials, testimonials, and expert opinion. Indeed, Lumpkin, Caballero and Chanko (1989) found not only strong indications of risk in mature consumers, but also a reliance on different sources of information than those used by younger consumers, with more value placed on product and source reputations. This is in addition to attributes such as access to a sales representative, dealing with a well-known company and previous experience with the brand and company. Overall, then, no clear consensus emerges from the literature.

THE NATURE OF PERCEIVED RISK

The dimensions of risk.

Since 1960, the study of risk has been a central focus in customer behaviour, with an emphasis on understanding how to manage the reduction of perceived risk and thus reduce cognitive dissonance. The basis of risk is that consumer behaviour will lead to consequences that cannot be anticipated and may be unpleasant (Bauer, 1960). Risk is commonly described in terms of a number of dimensions. Time, or wasting time was proposed (Roselius, 1971) as a significant dimension. This was followed by research that identified physical, functional, social, psychological and financial risks (Jacoby & Kaplan, 1972; Kaplan, 1974) through their research in product categories ranging from playing cards to sports cars. They found that between 40% and 70% of the variance in overall risk could be accounted for by these five dimensions of risk. The view of perceived risk as multi-dimensional and divergent between products is now a precept of the theoretical base (Ross, 1975).

Risk and consumer innovation

Perception of risk is associated not only with the nature of risk related to the product but also by the type of consumer and their attitudes to a particular product. Thus the innovativeness of a user will impact on the way individuals perceive risk in a specific situation (Onkvisit & Shaw, 1994). Willingness to take risks accounts for as much as 35% of the difference between innovators and non-innovators (Goldsmith, 1987) and innovators are less likely to perceive risk, especially social and financial risk, than non-innovators (Lambert, 1972).

The product context of risk

Much of the research on perceived risk has concerned products (Mitchell, 1999), though Murray has suggested that on the basis of both theory (Zeithaml, 1981) and empirical research (Murray & Schlacter, 1990), services are perceived to be riskier than goods. Turley and LeBlanc (1993) suggest that for services, there are four key risk dimensions, with time and psychological risks not associated with services, and thus the decision matrix for services is different to that for goods. They also emphasised that the allocation of weightings varies with different services and thus the risk profiles between services will differ significantly. This supports the work of Murray and Schlacter (1990) who

found that in general, except for performance and time, the dimensions of risk are higher for services than for goods. Perhaps this is because of the lack of tangibility and high involvement of services. Increasing perceived risk in services means that variables such as courtesy and responsiveness become more important in evaluating services (Ostrom & Iacobucci, 1995).

Summary

Our view of the extant literature is that despite its claimed importance, risk is generally under-explored and that despite the lack of empiricism, a lot of assumptions are made about this area of consumer behaviour. Inconsistencies in the literature abound, though there is little research on which they are based. Of over 80 studies examined, only four incorporated a comparative element and only one really compared older and younger consumers as a major research hypothesis. Up until now, understanding the impact of age has been very much a bi-product of more general studies. The only comparative study has been on the use of ATMs (Zeithmal, 1981). Other work has been on low involvement products such as dried pasta.

There seems to be a logic in the dimensions of perceived risk and the traits of older consumers, but still there remains a gulf regarding rigorous comparative research.

These earlier studies on levels of perceived risk highlighted that different products have different risk profiles, both in terms of level of risk and source of risk. They highlight the impact of consumer attitudes to different product categories and the diversity of risk factors across products and services. Despite this early identification of the uniqueness of risk associated with product, defining and modelling risk as a generalisable concept has become the major focus of research in this area. Our research incorporates these issues. We used a wide range of risk factors, operationalising them in multi-item scales validated through focus groups that identified appropriate issues for the product category. The purchase context is a high involvement purchase with a high service element and the unit of analysis is the individual that accounts for the variation that will be present in innovativeness. These issues address some of the key points identified by Mitchell (1999) as an agenda for research in perceived risk.

METHOD

Attitudes to risk were explored through recall of critical incidents relating to a recent high involvement purchase. Based on the extant literature on the age demographic and on perceived risk, focus groups were conducted to identify the specific research vocabulary pertinent to the purchase of a car. These were followed by a survey (n=230) across all age groups. The research questions were:

- What are the implications of different definitions of the grey market?
- What are the relative attitudes to risk of older and younger consumers?
- What are the potential elements of perceived risk that form the basis of age segmentation.
- Is grey/non-grey segmentation valid?

The central research hypothesis is that the individual attitudes that contribute to perceived risk will be significantly associated with the age group of the respondent.

Three focus groups were undertaken with participants who had bought a new car in the previous three months. The dimensions of risk were used to guide the discussions. The objective of these groups was to establish the validity of the critical incident recall approach, to understand the dimensions of risk relative to car purchase, and to establish an appropriate vocabulary for the survey questions. Thus the research questions that emerged from the literature were operationalised through the focus groups to provide

appropriate contextual examples and vocabulary for the consumer behaviour relating to car purchase.

The main research instrument was an interviewer-administered survey. The research instrument was developed using the conceptual base of the dimensions of perceived risk and the contextual basis of the focus group outcomes. The resultant questionnaire comprised 28 Likert scales reflecting the six dimensions of perceived risk. A panel initially assessed these for face validity and then pilot tested on forty respondents. Chronbach's alpha was used as a test for internal validity and the resulting value of .672 was considered satisfactory.

The survey incorporated inclusion criteria of recent car purchase. A total of 231 complete questionnaires were obtained. The 28 variables that addressed the various components of risk were made consistent in their measure of risk taking and conservatism by reversing responses to those variables that were presented in opposite syntax on the survey, thus all conservative answers were coded as one and risk taking responses as five. The variables were then grouped to obtain composite measures of each type of risk. These were analysed using Chi² test to examine the differences in responses of the different age groups to perceived risk as a means of risk reduction in car purchase.

The general problems of recall tests are well established and further comments relating specifically to recall tests and perceived risk studies have been made by Gemunden (1985 p89). However recall tests with high measures of validity and/or reliability underpin much understanding of consumer behaviour; indeed, seventy eight of the ninety eight studies used by Gemunden in his meta-analysis were recall studies. Thus it was concluded that a well-designed, rigorously tested recall survey was best suited to this purpose. The principal difficulty with such studies is recall loss, and such loss is more likely with low involvement purchases and experiences. It was for this reason that the product chosen was an automobile. There are many examples within the literature of automobile purchasing being a good indicator of various aspects of consumer behaviour, including perceived risk (Abramson and Desai 1993; Bauer 1967; Derbaix 1983; Gabbott 1991; Srinivasan and Ratchford 1991).

RESULTS

The distribution of age in the sample is detailed in table 1, which demonstrates the age distribution if the sample is broken down into different age categorisations.

6 groups	Under 30	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+
	27 (12 %)	44 (19 %)	70 (30%)	61 (26%)	24 (11%)	4 (2%)
4 groups	Under 40		40-49	50-59	60+	
	71 (31%)		70 (30%)	61 (26%)	28 (13%)	
3 groups	Under 40		40-49	50+		
	71 (31%)		70 (30%)	89 (39%)		
2 groups	Under 50			50+		
	141 (61%)			89 (39%)		

Eight distinct themes in perceived risk were identified in the factor analysis (table 2), accounting for 58% of the variance in the data. Some of these factors relate directly to the six established in the literature. The time factor is the same and our psycho-social factor, for example, shows that the two separate constructs are related in terms of the variance of our responses.

The remaining traditional factors however, emerge in our analysis as more complex than the remaining three (functional, physical and financial) that are usually presented. Some variables that we had originally expected to indicate functional risk and physical risk were identified as a group that is best described as concerning reassurance. Another distinct grouping of items that represent issues concerned with the physical and functional, combine to indicate reputation as a common theme. Financial risk emerged from our factor analysis as having two distinct elements, one relating to the price/product value for money and one that addresses the method of payment.

1 Psych/social $\alpha = 0.8050$		2 Price/product $\alpha = 0.6699$		3 Reassurance $\alpha = 0.6858$				
Status symbol	.845	Accompanying person	.746	Outstanding finance check	.758			
Impress friends	.806	Keep within budget	.635	Full service history	.628			
Others' opinion	.780	Value for money	.577	Pay for safety features	.473			
Confidence boost	.679	Ease of driving	.489	Seek expert opinion	.433			
Feel good about the car	.591							
Rejected embarrassing makes	.557							
4 Reputation $\alpha = 0.6473$		5 Source $\alpha = 0.6667$		6 Time $\alpha = 0.6647$				
Reliable reputation	.776	Auction	.764	Long time deciding	.729			
Safety reputation	.564	Ex-rental	.572	Time on information search	.700			
One previous owner	.563	Main or reputable dealer	.552	α for all variables= 0.672				
		High mileage	.465					
7 Functional $\alpha = 0.6186$		8 Payment						
Test drive	.746	Finance method	.758					
Running costs	.486							
Summary statistics	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8
Eigenvalues	4.608	2.893	1.917	1.599	1.330	1.194	1.127	1.070
% of variance explained	17.065	10.715	7.099	5.923	4.926	4.423	4.172	3.964
Cum % of variance explained	17.065	27.780	34.879	40.802	45.728	50.151	54.324	58.287
N=231; sample = all respondents; units = factor loadings								

The eight dependent variables relating to types of risk were analysed to establish their association with age. To establish the association between age and risk, each of the dependent variables (risk factors) was analysed with the independent variable (age group)

using *chi squared tests*. By analysing the results according to different age groupings, the effect of defining older consumers in different ways was accounted for by repeating the Chi^2 analysis using different combinations of age categories. In the first analysis, two categories were used (under 50 and over 50), and in the second, three categories were defined (under 40, 40-49 and 50+). Table 3 presents the results of this analysis, indicating those variables that showed a significant association.

	Mean	sd	Chi ²	df	Probability	significance
Psycho-social						
2 age groups	2.83	0.89	2.88	5	.578	No
3 age groups			4.87	8	.772	No
Budget						
2 age groups	2.54	1.73	4.58	4	.332	No
3 age groups			9.62	8	.293	No
Reassurance						
2 age groups	2.88	0.86	2.91	4	.574	No
3 age groups			6.21	8	.624	No
Reputation						
2 age groups	2.21	0.78	8.14	3	.043	95% level
3 age groups			9.87	6	.130	No
Source						
2 age groups	2.63	0.92	12.38	4	.015	95% level
3 age groups			15.92	8	.044	95% level
Time						
2 age groups	3.27	0.96	4.16	4	.385	No
3 age groups			7.39	8	.495	No
Trial						
2 age groups	2.24	0.95	0.53	4	.970	No
3 age groups			8.53	8	.383	No
Payment						
2 age groups	2.77	1.31	4.99	4	.289	No
3 age groups			11.97	8	.153	No
n = 231 sample: recent car buyers						
units: 1=strongly conservative, 5=strongly risk taking						

Reputation and *source* factors indicate a significant association. The over 50s were more likely to express conservatism about reputation (79%) than the younger respondents (60%). No respondents were strongly risk-taking regarding this factor. The profile of attitudes to source related risk was slightly different, in that it was the level of conservatism that separated the age groups. When split into two groups, the older group showed more inclination to be very conservative. When split into three groups however, the detailed analysis proves interesting. High levels of conservatism increase in a linear relationship with age. In contrast, those who express mild conservatism, are distributed differently across the age groups. It is the mid-age group that is the most conservative.

The item in the *reputation* factor that emerged as more salient to older consumers was the importance of a reputation for safety. In *sources*, the sales outlet and previous ownership are the significant items relative to age. Table 4 gives details of the items that comprise the *reputation* and *source* factors, providing a detailed exploration of the basis of the age associated differences within each factor.

Table 4.
Association of Age and Buyer Behaviour in High Involvement Decision Making:
Buying a Car

	Mean	sd	Chi ²	df	probability	significance
REPUTATION						
Important to have reliable reputation						
2 age groups	4.30	.84	4.80	4	.309	No
3 age groups			8.70	8	.369	No
Important to have reputation for safety						
2 age groups	3.97	2.10	16.91	5	.005	99% level
3 age groups			20.175	10	.028	95% level
SOURCE						
Did not consider buying at auction						
2 age groups	3.83	1.87	3.97	5	.554	No
3 age groups			7.044	10	.721	No
Would not consider ex-rental						
2 age groups	3.28	1.32	1.28	4	.865	No
3 age groups			3.33	8	.912	No
Important to buy from main or reputable dealer						
2 age groups	3.46	1.26	8.32	4	.081	90% level
3 age groups			11.18	8	.192	No
Important to have one previous owner						
2 age groups	3.23	1.07	8.70	4	.070	90% level
3 age groups			10.89	8	.208	No
Would not have considered high mileage						
2 age groups	3.52	1.26	3.63	4	.459	No
3 age groups			9.83	8	.277	No
n = 231 sample: recent car buyers						

The information search behaviours, our indicator of risk reduction, for the different age groups is presented in table 5. Information from friends and relatives and the use of advertising and consumer reports were all associated with age. In all cases, the younger groups were more likely to seek information.

Seeking information from friends and relatives indicated the biggest difference between the behaviours of the various groups. 70% of under 50s and 49% of over 50s sought information from friends and relatives. When separated into three groups, there was a distinct trend over the age groups with respect to the proportion seeking information from this source: 76% of under 40s; 64% 40-49years and 49% of over 50s. Both analyses indicated significant differences at the 99% confidence level.

Similarly, both age groupings indicated significant differences regarding the use of consumer reports, though at the 95% confidence level. In the two-group analysis, 50% of the younger group and 33% of the older group used this information. When split into three groups, both the younger groups used reports with equal enthusiasm (49% and 50%). Thus, there was no evidence of the drop-off across the age groups that was indicated in the use of information from friends and relatives. Rather, regarding the use of consumer reports, there was a clear under/over 50 divide.

Advertising was not used as much as the other significantly different sources of information, only 30% used it compared to 62% using friends and relatives and 43% consumer reports. The significant difference between age groups was that younger people used it more (35%) compared to 24% of the over 50s.

Table 5.					
Association of Age and Risk Information Search in Buying a Car					
	Total using source	Chi ²	df	Probability	significance
Friends and relatives					
2 age groups	62%	10.01	1	.002	99% level
3 age groups		11.52	2	.003	99% level
Mechanic					
2 age groups	62%	0.71	1	.400	No
3 age groups		1.74	2	.419	No
Dealer					
2 age groups	46%	0.672	1	.412	No
3 age groups		0.620	2	.733	No
Advertising					
2 age groups	30%	3.21	1	.073	90% level
3 age groups		3.40	2	.183	No
Brochures					
2 age groups	42%	0.10	1	.753	No
3 age groups		.063	2	.730	No
Consumer reports					
2 age groups	43%	6.48	1	.011	95% level
3 age groups		6.16	2	.046	95% level
Motoring magazines					
2 age groups	40%	2.40	1	.122	No
3 age groups		2.27	2	.321	No
TV programmes					
2 age groups	38%	0.72	1	.395	No
3 age groups		0.63	2	.730	No
Experience					
2 age groups	72%	2.50	1	.114	No
3 age groups		8.86	2	.012	No
n = 231 sample: recent car buyers					
units: 1=strongly conservative, 5=strongly risk taking					

DISCUSSION

Three major points emerge from the analysis of the data. First, attitudes to risk demonstrate quite clearly that safety becomes more important with age. This straightforward linear relationship suggests that a wider range of variables become important through the consumer's life cycle, perhaps as intimations of their own mortality dawns. Thus, as Schewe (1991) suggests, positioning on the safety element could well be a highly successful strategy. This would follow the logic of products such as pensions in the financial services sector, which are notoriously unimportant to younger people who find the prospect of getting older anathema. It is however, possible that this result merely reflects the experience of older consumers and their unwillingness to accept less than top quality, rather than the reason often put forward, namely that older people feel more vulnerable.

The second point emerges relates to psycho-social risk. It is the mid-age group that expresses conservatism whilst the oldest and youngest groups are equally less conservative. The lack of conservatism in these two groups might have different drivers. Older consumers may be more confident in their experience and there was an indication that they tended to be less concerned with feeling good about the car, which perhaps suggests a more pragmatic view to the utility value of a car. On the face of it, the younger group tended towards answers that indicated a more emotional involvement with the car and were significantly less happy with the risk associated with the process of purchase.

Although the youngest and oldest age groups have a similar profile of expressed attitudes to risk, we cannot assume that they are similar in terms of the motivation or values that lead to these profiles. Perhaps older consumers get a new lease of life and feel in a position to be more relaxed about risk on the same grounds as younger people; perhaps they see life is too short to worry. It is the mid-age group that asserts both a conservative attitude and an aversion to risk. Perhaps it is this group that is concerned with issues about factors such as suitability for a family and this inclusion of other influences on the decision making process make a car a less personal issue; they are certainly the most concerned about the risks of the process. It is interesting that younger groups consistently seek information to reduce risk more frequently than do older consumers.

The third point, and the overall thrust of this research, is that we cannot assume a linear progression of attitudes to risk as consumers age. Whilst the drivers of conservatism and risk may be different for younger and older people, this simply means that marketers need an even more detailed understanding of age segments. Moreover, this research indicates that positioning products on the basis of age is something that needs careful research. While we found the older group to be more concerned with safety, the mid-age group is different with respect to psychological risk in this product category, and no discernable age differences were found for the remaining four types of risk. Having identified this non-linear relationship of age and psychological risk, we propose that an important agenda be highlighted to understand the underpinning reasons for these attitudes.

CONCLUSION

In responding to Lazer's (1986) call to "debunk some of the mature market myths" (p32) the results presented here overwhelmingly support Tongren's (1988) conclusion that there is little strong support for the existence of differences between older and younger consumers, at least not in terms of perceiving and reducing risk. This is in direct contrast with much of the published literature on the grey market.

We conclude that age is both useful and complex as a segmentation variable and suggest that a research agenda should be developed to understand its subtleties in more depth. In reviewing the literature on age and segmentation in general, and perceived risk specifically, we highlight that there seems relatively little interest in this area, which given the demographic imperative and opportunities is surprising. This area of research provides a real opportunity to contribute to the body of knowledge on contemporary customer behaviour and to the practice of marketing segmentation in the reality of today's demography. The values that drive attitudes to risk and risk reduction could be a very important segmentation issue, and further, the definition of older consumers should be challenged. With increasingly disparate lifecycles, specifically changes in patterns of retirement, it might be that perceived age rather than chronological age is a more important variable to consider in this field of segmentation. The gap between the simplistic perceptions of practising marketing managers and the complexity of age segmentation highlighted by this and previous research should be a major focus given prevailing demographic trends.

REFERENCES

Abramson, Joseph and Suzanne Desai (1993) "Purchase Involvement of New Car Buyers: A Descriptive Study," *Mid-American Journal of Business*, 8, 13-20.

Bartos, Rena (1980) "Over 49: The Invisible Consumer Market," *Harvard Business Review* (Jan-Feb), 140-148.

- Bauer, R. A. (1960). "Consumer Behaviour as Risk Taking," In R. Hancock (Ed.), *Dynamic Marketing for a Changing World* (pp. 389-398). Chicago: American Marketing Association.
- Bauer, Raymond A. (1967) "Consumer Behaviour as Risk Taking" in *Risk Taking and Information Handling in Consumer Behaviour*, D. F. Cox, ed., Harvard University Press, 23-33.
- Bauer, R. A., & Cox, D. (1967). "Rational vs Emotional Communications: a New Approach," In D. Cox (Ed.), *Risk Taking and Information Handling in Consumer Behaviour* (pp. 469-486). Boston: Harvard University.
- Bone, Paula Fitzgerald (1991) "Identifying Mature Segments," *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 8 (4), 19-32.
- Buck, Stephan (1990a) "Turning an Old Problem into a New Marketing Opportunity" in *The 55+ Market: Exploring a Golden Business Opportunity*, S. Buck, ed., 47-69, McGraw-Hill Book Co (UK) London.
- Buck, Stephan (1990b) "Turning an Old Problem into a New Opportunity," *Admap* (March), 20-22.
- Byrne, Sue (1994) "Mutual Incomprehension?" *Admap* (July/August), 39-42.
- Calver, Stephen, Wolf Wierich and Julie Phillips (1993) "Leisure in Later Life" *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 5, (1), 4-9.
- The Carnegie UK Trust (1992) "*The Carnegie Inquiry into the Third Age*", Fife.
- Cooper, Philip D. (1987) "The Elderly Market and Life Satisfaction: An Opportunity for Renewed Marketing," in *Marketing and the Quality of Life Interface*, A. Coskun Samli, ed., Quorum Books, Connecticut.
- Cornman, John M. and Eric Kingson (1996) "Trends, Issues, Perspectives and Values for the Ageing of the Baby Boom Cohorts," *The Gerontologist*, 36 (1), 15-26.
- Cunningham, S.M. "The Major Dimensions of Perceived Risk" in *Risk Taking and Information Handling in Consumer Behaviour*, D.F. Cox, ed., Harvard University Press, Boston.
- Day, Ellen, Brian Davis, Rhonda Dove and Warren French (1988) "Reaching the Senior Market(s)" *Journal of Advertising Research* (Dec-Jan), 23-30.
- Derbaix, C. (1983) "Perceived Risk and Risk Relievers: An Empirical Investigation," *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 3, 19-38.
- Dychtwald, Ken and Greg Gable (1990) "Portrait of a Changing Consumer," *Business Horizons* (Jan-Feb), 62-73.
- Festinger, L. (1957). "*A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*," Stanford CA: Stanford University Press.
- Fry, Andy (1992) "Grays: A Bright Prospect," *Marketing* (December 10th), 19-21.

- Gabbot, Mark (1991) "The Role of Product Cues in Assessing Risk in Second-Hand Markets," *European Journal of Marketing*, 25 (9), 38-50.
- Gelb, Betsy (1978) "Exploring the Gray Market Segment," *MSU Business Topics*, Spring, 41-46.
- Gemunden, Hans Georg (1985) "Perceived Risk and Information Search: A Systematic Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Evidence," *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 2, 79-100.
- Gilly, M.C. and Zeithaml, V.A (1985) "The Elderly Consumer and the Adoption of Technologies," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12, 353-357.
- Goldsmith, R. E. (1987). "Self-Monitoring and Innovativeness," *Psychological Reports*, 60, 1017-1018.
- Greco, Alan J (1988) "The elderly as Communicators: Perceptions of Advertising Practitioners," *Journal of Advertising Research* (June/July), 39-46.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). "*Multivariate Data Analysis*" (5 ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Hitschler, Pamela B (1993) "Spending by Older Consumers: 1980 and 1990 Compared," *Monthly Labour Review* (May), 3-13.
- Howard, J. A., & Seth, J. N. (1969). "*The Theory of Buyer Behaviour*," New York: John Wiley.
- Jacoby, J., & Kaplan, L. B. (1972). "*The Components of Perceived Risk*," Paper presented at the Third Annual Conference, Association of Consumer Research, Chicago.
- Johnson, Paul (1990) "Gold amongst the Gray," *Admap* (March), 14-17.
- Kaplan, L. B. (1974). "Components of Perceived Risk in Product Purchase: a Cross Validation," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 59, 427-431.
- Kavanagh, Michael L. (1995) "Bright Future for a Gray Sector," *Marketing* (January 19th), 29-30.
- Lambert, Z. B. (1972). "Perceptual Patterns, Information Handling and Innovativeness," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 9, 427-431.
- Lannon, Judie (1990) "The Graying of Europe," *ADMAP* (April), 42-45.
- Lannon, Judie (1994) "How They're Different! What We Know About Communicating With the Third Age," *ADMAP* (July/August), 30-36.
- Lazer, William (1986) "Dimensions of the Mature Market," *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 3 (3), 23-34.
- Leventhal, Richard C. (1991) "The Aging Consumer: What's All the Fuss About Anyway?" *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 8 (1), 29-34.
- Lumpkin, J. R., M. J. Caballero and L. B. Chanko, (1989) "*Direct Marketing, Direct*

Selling and the Mature Consumer,” Quorum Books, New York.

Mitchell, V. W. (1999). “Consumer Perceived Risk: Conceptualisations and Models,” *European Journal of Marketing*, 33(1/2), 163-195.

Moschis, George P. (1992) “*Marketing to Older Consumers: A Handbook of Information for Strategy Development,*” Quorum Books, Connecticut.

Murray, K. B., & Schlacter, J. L. (1990). “The Impact of Services Versus Goods on Consumers Assessment of Preceived Risk and Variability,” *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 18(1), 51-65.

Onkvisit, S., & Shaw, J. (1994). “*Consumer Behaviour: Strategy and Analysis,*” New York: MacMillan.

Ostrom, A., & Iacobucci, D. (1995). “Consumer Trade-offs and the Evaluation of Services,” *Journal of Marketing*, 59, 17-28.

Peterson, Robin T. (1992) “The Depiction of Senior Citizens in Magazine Advertisements: A Content Analysis,” *Journal of Business Ethics*, 11, 701-706.

Phillips, Lynn W. and Brian Sternthal (1977) “Age Differences in Information Processing: A Perspective on the Aged Consumer,” *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18 (November), 444-457.

Reid, Leonard N., Jesse E. Teel and Bruce G. Van den Bergh (1980) “Perceived Risk and Interest in Risk Reducing Information of the Elderly,” *Proceedings of the Southern Marketing Association*, Charleston, South Carolina, 123-126.

Reinecke, Leisa Flynn and Ronald E. Goldsmith (1993) “Identifying Innovators in Consumer Service Markets,” *Service Industries Journal*, 13 (3), 97-109.

Roselius, E. (1971). “Consumer Rankings of Risk Reduction Methods,” *Journal of Marketing*, 35, 56-61.

Ross, Ivan (1975) “Perceived Risk and Consumer Behaviour: A Critical Review” in *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2 (1), 1-19.

Satterthwaite, Chris (1990) “A Serious Look at the ‘Gray’ Squirrel,” *Promotions and Incentives* (October), 52-54.

Schewe, Charles D (1988) “Marketing to our Aging Population: Responding to Physiological Changes,” *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 5 (3), 61-73.

Schewe, Charles D (1991) “Strategically Positioning Your Way into the Aging Marketplace,” *Business Horizons* (May/June), 59-66.

Schiffman, Leon G. (1972) “Perceived Risk in New Product Trial by Elderly Consumers,” *Journal of Marketing Research*, 9 (February), 106-108.

Silman, Richard, and Richard Poustie (1994) “What they eat, buy, read and watch,” *ADMAR* (July/August), 25-28.

Spence, Homer E., James F. Engel and Roger D. Blackwell (1970) “Perceived Risk in

Mail Order and Retail Store Buying,” *Journal of Marketing Research*, 7 (August), 364-369.

Srinivasan, Narasimhan and Brian T. Ratchford (1991) “An Empirical test of a model of External Search for Automobiles,” *Journal of Consumer Research*, 18 (September), 233-242.

Strutton, H. David and James R. Lumpkin (1992) “Information Sources Used by Elderly Health Care Adopters,” *Journal of Advertising Research* (July/August), 20-30.

Thomas, Hester (1990) “The Grays: A Market Comes of Age,” *Accountancy* (November), 102-103.

Tinker, Anthea (1994) “Older People in the 1990s: An Emerging Opportunity for Research,” *Journal of the Market Research Society*, 36 (3), 245-256.

Tongren, Hale N (1988) “Determinant Behaviour Characteristics in Older Consumers,” *The Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 22 (1), 136-157.

van der Merwe, Sandra (1987) “GRAMPIES: A New Breed of Consumers Comes of Age,” *Business Horizons* (November/December), 14-19.

Wolfe, David B. (1994) “Targeting the Mature Mind,” *American Demographics* (March), 32-36.

Zeithaml, V. A. (1981). “How Consumer Evaluation Processes Differ Between Goods and Services,” In J. H. Donnelly & W. R. George (Eds.), *Marketing of Services* (pp. 168-189). Chicago: American Marketing Association.

